

**Saint Cloud APO Surface Transportation Block Grant Program (STBGP) Project Review and Score Sheet
FY 2029**

Proposed Project Title:		Reviewer:	
Applicant:		Date:	
Project Qualifications		Evaluation Considerations	
<p>#1 Access and Mobility: Explain how the project increases the accessibility and mobility options for people and freight. (25 points total)</p> <p align="center">Criteria to consider</p> <p>*Project complies with the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) and meets Title VI and Environmental Justice Requirements. *Project improves travel time reliability and/or level of service (LOS).</p>		<p>*Project includes ADA compliant infrastructure. *Project improves (or facilitates the possible incorporation of) access to transit stops. *SYSTEM PRESERVATION: Project occurs within an EJ area. *EXPANSION: Project details mitigation efforts to lessen/minimize impact on EJ populations. *V/C ratio: >1.00; 0.85-0.99; <0.84.</p>	
Comments:		#1 Score	
<p>#2 System Connectivity: Explain how the project enhances the integration and connectivity of the transportation system for people and freight. (25 points total)</p> <p align="center">Criteria to consider</p> <p>*Project preserves and/or enhances an important long-distance commuter corridor for workers who commute into the greater Saint Cloud metropolitan area. *Project furthers or completes the connection of existing transportation infrastructure (roadways, transit, active transportation) within and between jurisdictions (fills a gap).</p>		<p>*Project occurs on or constructs a new roadway with the following functional classification: Interstate 94; NHS system (MN 23, MN 15, US 10, CSAH 75); Principal or minor arterial; Principal or minor collector. *Project is interjurisdictional . *Project completes a connection.</p>	
Comments:		#2 Score	
<p>#3 Multimodal: Explain how the project promotes walking, bicycling, transit, and other modes as an integral component of the transportation system. (20 points total)</p> <p align="center">Criterion to consider</p> <p>*Project furthers or establishes new connections of existing multi-use paths, bicycle lanes, and/or sidewalks within and between jurisdictions (fills a gap).</p>		<p>*Project contains the following: Multi-use paths. On-road bicycle lanes. Sidewalks. Connections within and/or between jurisdictions. Connections to major trip generators (examples include schools, businesses, places of employment, etc.)</p>	
Comments:		#3 Score	
<p>#4 System Condition: Explain the current system conditions and how this project will preserve or enhance the transportation infrastructure and/or operations (50 points total)</p> <p align="center">Criterion to consider</p> <p>*Project improves the pavement condition of an existing bridge, roadway, multi-use path, or bicycle lane. Prioritization will be taken for projects that improve bridges with a 'poor' condition rating or roadways with a 'poor' International Roughness Index (IRI) rating.</p>		<p>*Pavement IRI conditions (poor, fair, good). *Bridge conditions (poor, fair, good). *Multi-use paths conditions (poor, fair, good). *Consideration should also be given to the construction of new roadways and the impact of preserving or enhancing the current transportation infrastructure with the development of the addition to the roadway network.</p>	
Comments:		#4 Score	
<p>#5 Safety: Explain how the project or elements of the project may improve safety. (50 points total)</p> <p align="center">Criterion to consider</p>		<p>*Project occurs on a roadway (or near an intersection) with a high critical crash rate. *Safety measures applied -- consideration for rural and urban safety improvements.</p>	

<p>*Project includes appropriate safety infrastructure to assist in preventing crashes (i.e. shoulder and centerline rumble and mumble strips and stripes; roundabouts; median barrier systems; crash cushions; guiderail end treatments; traffic calming; pedestrian crossings, etc.). Prioritization will be taken for projects that are constructed at high-crash locations.</p>		
<p>Comments:</p>		#5 Score
<p>#6 Economic Vitality: Explain how the project supports the economic development and job growth retention/creation goals in the community and region. (15 points total)</p>		<p>*Project occurs within the existing freight corridor. *Project explains relationship between construction and the anticipated development, property tax generation, and job creation/retention.</p>
<p style="text-align: center;">Criteria to consider</p>		
<p>*Project improved the efficient movement of people and freight between the region and the rest of the state and/or nation. *Project promotes improved operation of the existing freight network.</p>		
<p>Comments:</p>		#6 Score
<p>#7 Energy and Environmental Conservation: Explain how the project promotes energy conservation and improves public health and quality of life while sustaining and improving the resiliency and reliability of the transportation system. (5 points total)</p>		<p>*Describe the environmental path you intend to follow (i.e. EA/EIS/CATX). Has coordination taken place with environmental planners/MPCA/DNR/etc. about the location of the project and potential impacts? *Project has undergone the local environmental review process</p>
<p style="text-align: center;">Criterion to consider</p>		
<p>*Project complies with the requirements of the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA), the Minnesota Environmental Policy Act (MEPA), and appropriate mitigation options have been explored in order to minimize environmental impact.</p>		
<p>Comments:</p>		#7 Score
<p>#8 Public Engagement, Plan Identification, and Project Readiness: Identify where the project has been notated in one or more statewide, regional, or local plan, which has been adopted by federal, state, regional, or local agencies. (10 points total)</p>		<p>*Proposers should identify the relationship of the project to any statewide, regional, or local plans/objectives that have gone through a public planning process. They should explain how the project is consistent with these plans and objectives, refer to specific sections of the plan, and describe the level of public involvement in which the project was developed, adopted and/or approved. Provide a link to the plan or cite plan document reference. *Include any pertinent excerpts from completed feasibility documentation for the project (i.e. scoping study, preliminary engineering, etc.). Describe the public outreach that has taken place and include any controversial issues that may affect this project.</p>
<p style="text-align: center;">Criterion to consider</p>		
<p>See evaluation considerations.</p>		
<p>Comments:</p>		#8 Score
<p>TOTAL SCORE (200 total points available)</p>		0