

T. 320.252.7568 F. 320.252.6557

## AGENDA

## APO POLICY BOARD MEETING

## THURSDAY, OCTOBER 10, 2024 - 4:30 P.M. GREAT RIVER REGIONAL LIBRARY, BREMER ROOM 1300 W ST GERMAIN ST, ST CLOUD, MN 56301

- 1. Pledge of Allegiance
- 2. Introductions
- 3. Approval of Agenda
- 4. Public Comment Period
- 5. Approve Consent Agenda Items (Attachments A C)
  - a. Approve Minutes of September 12, 2024, Policy Board Meeting (Attachment A)
  - b. Approve Bills List for September (Attachment B)
  - c. Receive Staff Report on Technical Advisory Committee Meeting (Attachment C)
- 6. Consider Final 2050 Metropolitan Transportation Plan (MTP) (*Attachments D1 & D2*), *Vicki Johnson, Senior Transportation Planner*

## a. Suggest Action: Approve

- 7. Consider Transportation Performance Targets (*Attachments E1-E6*), James Stapfer, *Planning Technician* 
  - a. Suggested Action: Approve
- 8. Consider Carbon Reduction Program (CRP) Regional Priorities (*Attachments F1 & F2*) Alex McKenzie, Associate Planner

## a. Suggested Action: Approve

- 9. Consider Cost Sharing Agreement for Future Beltline Projects, (Attachment G) Brian Gibson, Executive Director
  - a. Suggested Action: Approve
- 10. Other Business & Announcements
- 11.Adjournment

## English

The Saint Cloud Area Planning Organization (APO) fully complies with the Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, Title II of the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990, Executive Order 12898, Executive Order 13116 and related statutes and regulations. The APO is accessible to all persons of all abilities. A person who requires a modification or accommodation, auxiliary aids, translation services, interpreter services, etc., in order to participate in a public meeting, including receiving this agenda and/or attachments in an alternative format, or language please contact the APO at 320-252-7568 or at admin@stcloudapo.org at least seven (7) days in advance of the meeting.

## Somali

Ururka Qorsheynta Deegaanka ee Cloud Cloud (APO) wuxuu si buuxda u waafaqsanahay Cinwaanka VI ee Xuquuqda Xuquuqda Rayidka ee 1964, Cinwaanka II ee Sharciga Naafada Mareykanka ee 1990, Amarka Fulinta 12898, Amarka Fulinta 13116 iyo qawaaniinta iyo qawaaniinta la xiriira. APO waa u furan tahay dhammaan dadka awooda oo dhan. Qofka u baahan dib-u-habeyn ama dejin, caawimaad gargaar ah, adeegyo turjumaad, adeegyo turjubaan, iwm, si uu uga qeyb galo kulan dadweyne, oo ay ku jiraan helitaanka ajendahaan iyo / ama ku lifaaqan qaab kale, ama luqadda fadlan la xiriir APO. 320-252-7568 ama at admin@stcloudapo.org ugu yaraan toddobo (7) maalmood kahor kulanka.

## Spanish

La Organización de Planificación del Área de Saint Cloud (APO en inglés) cumple plenamente con el Título VI de la Ley de Derechos Civiles de 1964, con el Título II de la Ley sobre los Estadounidenses con Discapacidad de 1990), de la Orden Ejecutiva 12898, de la Orden Ejecutiva 13116 y los estatutos y reglamentos relacionados. La APO es accesible para todas las personas de todas las capacidades. Una persona que requiere una modificación o acomodación, ayudas auxiliares, servicios de traducción, servicios de interpretación, etc., para poder participar en una reunión pública, incluyendo recibir esta agenda y/o archivos adjuntos en un formato o idioma alternativo, por favor, contacta a la APO al número de teléfono 320-252-7568 o al <u>admin@stcloudapo.org</u> al menos siete (7) días antes de la reunión.

## SAINT CLOUD AREA PLANNING ORGANIZATION POLICY BOARD Thursday, September 12, 2024 – 4:30 PM

A regular meeting of the Saint Cloud Area Planning Organization Policy Board was held on Thursday, September 12, 2024, at 4:30 p.m. APO Chair Raeanne Danielowski presided with the following members:

Jake Anderson	City of Saint Cloud
Administrator Matthew Staehling	City of Saint Cloud (Alternate for Mayor Dave Kleis)
Mike Conway	City of Saint Cloud (Alternate for Jeff Goerger
Rick Schultz	City of Saint Joseph
Dottie Seamans	City of Sauk Rapids
Anna Gruber	City of Sartell (Alternate for Tim Elness)
Ryan Daniel	Metro Bus
Joe Perske	Stearns County
Josh Bently	Le Sauk Township (Alternate for Jeff Westerlund)

Also in attendance were:

Brian Gibson	Saint Cloud APO
Vicki Johnson	Saint Cloud APO
Alex McKenzie	Saint Cloud APO
Trina Ness	Saint Cloud APO

Absent:

Jared Gapinski	Benton County
Rick Miller	City of Waite Park
James Stapfer	Saint Cloud APO

## 1. PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE

## 2. INTRODUCTIONS

## **3. APPROVAL OF AGENDA:**

Ms. Danielowski motioned that Item number 8 on the agenda (Consider Cost Sharing Agreement for Future Beltline Projects) be tabled until the October 2024 meeting. Mr. Perske seconded the motion. Motion carried with Mr. Staehling opposing.

Mr. Perske asked that 322<sup>nd</sup> Street be added to Other Business.

Ms. Danielowski motioned to approve the agenda, with the amendment of tabling Item No. 8 to the October meeting and adding  $322^{nd}$  Street to Other Business. Mr. Perske seconded the motion. Motion carried. Mr. Staehling opposed the motion.

4. **PUBLIC COMMENT PERIOD:** There were no members of the public present.

## 5. CONSIDERATION OF CONSENT AGENDA ITEMS:

- a. Approve Minutes of August 8, 2024, Policy Board Meeting
- b. Approve Bills Lists for August
- c. Receive Staff Reports on Technical Advisory Committee Meeting

## *Ms. Seamans motioned to approve the agenda as amended. Mr. Daniel seconded the motion. Motion carried.*

## 6. CONSIDER FINAL 2025-2028 TRANSPORTATION IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM (TIP)

Ms. Johnson gave an update of the 2025-2028 TIP stating that the public comment period ran from July 17-August 16th, 2024. There was also an in-person open house which one person attended, and a Facebook Live open house with no responses.

Public outreach included 12 online surveys with 52 responses, WJON story, emails to interested persons/stakeholder list, Facebook/Instagram posts, The Oxcart newsletter article, and legal notice in the St. Cloud Times. The final changes to the draft included: Updated Chapter 5 text with information regarding public engagement, and updates to Appendix C- including comment disposition.

Technical Advisory Committee representatives recommended Policy Board approval of the final 2025-2028 Transportation Improvement Program (TIP) at the August 29, 2024, meeting.

## Mr. Schultz motioned to approve Policy Board approval of the Final 2025-2028 Transportation Improvement Program (TIP) as refined and recommended by the TAC. Ms. Seamans seconded the motion. Motion carried.

## 7. CONSIDER ALTERNATIVES FOR SHARING FUNDING IN THE AREA TRANSPORTATION PARNTERSHIP (ATP)

Ms. Johnson began by giving a brief history of the existing Surface Transportation Block Grant Program (STGBP) funding distribution for ATP-3, which was developed in 1999. This formula was developed in response to changes in the state's STBGP funding distribution to each of the eight ATPs.

Ms. Johnson then presented the ATP-3 Managed STBGP Formula Funding: 50% System Size: Bridge area, Federal aid lane miles, Number of Transit vehicles. 50% System Use: Vehicle Miles Traveled (VMT), Heavy Commercial Vehicle Miles Traveled (HCVMT), and 2025 population projections.

The state distributes the funding targets to the ATPs through a formula based upon system needs (county-state aid highway and municipal state aid streets) and population.

Region	Raw Formula Calculation	Region 7W/Saint Cloud APO Split	Percentage of STBGP Funds Allocated
Region 5	32.65%	-	32.65%
Region 7E	13.82%	-	13.82%
Region 7W	53.53%	61.65%	33.00%
Saint Cloud APO	-	38.35%	20.53%

Ms. Johnson reviewed the current distribution of STBGP Block Grant Program funds by region:

In 2017 an attempt was made to revisit the formula funding split, however concerns were raised due to several factors including changes to the ways the state was distributing STBGP funds to each of the ATPs as well some of the data being outdated. However, that proposal was declined at the ATP level.

MnDOT Central Office recently updated the data it uses to distribute funding targets to each of the ATPs. Using the 2020 Census and January 2023 CSAH and MSA needs data, ATP-3 experienced a \$500,000 funding cut in STBGP funds (also a \$100,000 cut in TA dollars).

## *Mr. Schultz motioned for Policy Board approval of asking the ATP to revisit the 1999 formula. Mr. Staehling seconded the motion. Motion carried.*

## 8. CONSIDER COST SHARING AGREEMENT FOR FUTURE BELTLINE PROJECTS

Item tabled until the October 2024 meeting.

## 9. OTHER BUSINESS & ANNOUNCEMENTS

Mr. Perske added 322<sup>nd</sup> Street discussion. He is aware of the disrepair the road is in. St. Wendel and Le Sauk townships do not have the funds to put toward a major road improvement. Carol Lewis contacted him and asked about making it a county road. Ultimately, we (Policy Board) need to get the classification of the road changed so we can apply for state and federal funding. Mr. Perske would like to have the Board look at 322<sup>nd</sup> as everybody's problem and would like to help find funding for it. Mr. Gibson stated that the APO staff and the TAC are conducting a study regarding the classification of roads. The Policy Board should have that report by the end of the year.

Mr. Gibson stated that the gentleman that came into the room during the meeting stated that he's a self-proclaimed government advocate and he would like to congratulate the Policy Board on having their meetings at the library, which is an open, accessible location, that anyone can attend should they wish to. He also stated the agenda looked good and it was easy to follow. He would not give his name for the record.

## **10. ADJOURNMENT:**

## Mr. Schultz motioned to adjourn the meeting. Mr. Anderson seconded the motion. Motion carried.

The meeting was adjourned at 5:35 p.m.

## ST. CLOUD AREA PLANNING ORGANIZATION Transaction List by Vendor September 1-30, 2024

Vendor		Date	Amount	Account full name
Abdo Financial Solutions				
	Abdo Einancial Solutions	09/01/2024	\$ 4 874 25 66	02 Accounting Services: 6602 2 Accounting Services
Tatal for their Financial October	Abdo Financial Colutions	00/01/2024	\$ 4,074.20 00	to z Accounting Col McC3.0002.2 Accounting Col McC3
Total for Abdo Financial Solutions			\$ 4,874.25	
Adobe Creative Cloud				
	Adobe Creative Cloud	09/08/2024	\$ 59.99 66	09 IT Support & Software
	Adobe Creative Cloud	09/11/2024	\$ 59.99 66	i09 IT Support & Software
	Adobe Creative Cloud	09/17/2024	\$ 21.51 66	09 IT Support & Software
	Adobe Creative Cloud	09/21/2024	\$ 21.51 66	09 IT Support & Software
Total for Adobe Creative Cloud			\$ 163.00	
AFLAC				
,		00/05/2024	\$ 1,008,42,21	50 Payroll Banafite Payroble: 2150 5 Aflac Payroble
7-1-1 (	AI EAC	03/03/2024	\$ 1,090.42 21	ou rayion benefits rayable.2100.0 Anacirayable
			\$ 1,096.42	
Bolton & Menk, Inc.				
	Bolton & Menk, Inc.	8/30/2024	\$ 10,896.56 66	22 CPG Passthrough Expense:6622.32 Regional Arterial and Collector Roadway Planning
	Bolton & Menk, Inc.	09/03/2024	\$ 23,948.25 66	22 CPG Passthrough Expense:6622.32 Regional Arterial and Collector Roadway Planning
Total for Bolton & Menk, Inc.			\$ 34,844.81	
CAIRO				
	CAIRO	09/16/2024	\$ 8,000.00 66	22 CPG Passthrough Expense:6622.29 Hard-to-Reach Liaisons
Total for CAIRO			\$ 8,000.00	
City of St. Cloud - Water/Sewer				
	City of St. Cloud, Water/Sewer	00/04/2024	\$ 50.82.66	06 Utilities and Maintenance 6606 1 Utilities
Table (an Otto of Ob Observed, WesterdOsserve	City of St. Cloud - Water/Sewer	03/04/2024	\$ 50.02 00	ou oundes and maintenance.0000.1 Oundes
Total for City of St. Cloud - Water/Sewer			\$ 50.82	
David Turch & Associates				
	David Turch & Associates	09/13/2024	\$ 4,000.00 90	2 Ineligible Fed Reimbursemt:902.10 Washington Lobbyist
Total for David Turch & Associates			\$ 4,000.00	
Delta Dental				
	Delta Dental	09/17/2024	\$ 299.62 65	60A Wages and Benefits:6600 Employee Benefits:6600.5 Health/Dental/Life Insurance
Total for Delta Dental			\$ 299.62	
Emerald Companies Inc				
	Emerald Companies Inc	09/21/2024	\$ 404.49.66	06 Utilities and Maintenance 6606 2 Maintenance
Total for Emerald Companies Inc.	Emerald Companies inc	03/21/2024	\$ 404.49	ou ounces and maintenance.0000.2 maintenance
			\$ 404.49	
International Road Dynamics Corp				
	International Road Dynamics Corp	09/30/2024	\$ 159.84 66	09.1 Equipment & Hardware
Total for International Road Dynamics Corp			\$ 159.84	
Loffler Companies				
	Loffler Companies	09/18/2024	\$ 97.48 66	08 Multifunction Copier
Total for Loffler Companies			\$ 97.48	
Mailchimp.com				
	Mailchimp.com	09/02/2024	\$ 20.00 66	09 IT Support & Software
Total for Mailchimp.com			\$ 20.00	
Motro Soloo Ino			• 2000	
Metto Sales Inc				
	Metro Sales Inc	09/04/2024	\$ 1,078.15 66	U911 Support & Software
Total for Metro Sales Inc			\$ 1,078.15	
Premium Waters Inc				
	Premium Waters Inc	8/31/2024	\$ 9.99 66	01 Office Supplies
Total for Premium Waters Inc			\$ 9.99	
Principal Mutual Life Insurance				
	Principal Mutual Life Insurance	09/01/2024	\$ 299.51 65	60A Wages and Benefits:6600 Employee Benefits:6600.5 Health/Dental/Life Insurance
Total for Principal Mutual Life Insurance			\$ 299.51	
Quill com				
Quilloon	Quill and	00/04/2024	¢ 50.00.00	
	Quin.com	09/04/2024	\$ 52.22 66	of Once Supplies
l otal for Quill.com			\$ 52.22	
Shutterstock.com				
	Shutterstock.com	09/09/2024	\$ 29.00 66	05 Printing & Publishing
Total for Shutterstock.com			\$ 29.00	
Spectrum Business (Charter)				
	Spectrum Business (Charter)	09/13/2024	\$ 419.94	
Total for Spectrum Business (Charter)	· ·		\$ 419.94	
SRF Consulting Group				
	SRF Consulting Group	8/31/2024	\$ 310300 00	22 22 CPG Passthrough Evnense Travel Demand Model Undetse
Tatal for SDE Canadian Contract	ora consuming group	0/01/2024	ψ 0,420.00 bb.	22.22 OF OF ASSUMUUGH EXPENSE. Have Demand Model Opdates
i otal for SRF Consulting Group			<b>\$</b> 3,423.83	
St. Cloud Area Chamber of Commerce				
	St. Cloud Area Chamber of Commerce	09/02/2024	\$ 611.60 66	10 Dues & Subscriptions
Total for St. Cloud Area Chamber of Commerce			\$ 611.60	

## Attachment B

	Stearns Electric Association	09/05/2024	\$	201.45	6606 Utilities and Maintenance:6606.1 Utilities
Total for Stearns Electric Association			\$	201.45	
Toward Zero					
	Toward Zero	09/06/2024	\$	150.00	6618 Professional Development
Total for Toward Zero			\$	150.00	
Weisman Cleaning Inc					
	Weisman Cleaning Inc	09/30/2024	\$	150.00	6606 Utilities and Maintenance:6606.2 Maintenance
Total for Weisman Cleaning Inc			\$	150.00	
West Central Sanitation, Inc					
	West Central Sanitation, Inc	09/01/2024	\$	53.71	6606 Utilities and Maintenance:6606.1 Utilities
Total for West Central Sanitation, Inc			\$	53.71	
Xcel Energy					
	Xcel Energy	09/09/2024	\$	53.60	6606 Utilities and Maintenance:6606.1 Utilities
Total for Xcel Energy			\$	53.60	
			\$ 60	,545.73	

Accrual Basis Wednesday, October 02, 2024 11:53 AM GMTZ

Stearns Electric Association



то:	Saint Cloud Area Planning Organization Policy Board
FROM:	Vicki Johnson, Senior Transportation Planner
RE:	Staff report of the Sept. 26, 2024, Technical Advisory Committee Meeting
DATE:	Sept. 30, 2024

The Saint Cloud Area Planning Organization's (APO's) Technical Advisory Committee (TAC) held a regular meeting on Thursday, Sept. 26, 2024. At that meeting, the following topics were discussed:

## 1. Consideration of the final draft Looking Ahead 2050 Metropolitan Transportation Plan

a. APO Senior Transportation Planner Vicki Johnson updated TAC representatives on where APO staff was in the process of preparing the Looking Ahead 2050 Metropolitan Transportation Plan (MTP). Ms. Johnson provided a recap of the public engagement process which included the methods staff used to reach out to the community, how the public engagement process was advertised, and a summary of public outreach contacts. APO TAC representatives recommended Policy Board approval on the final draft Looking Ahead 2050 MTP.

### 2. Consideration of PM1: Transportation Safety; PM2: Infrastructure; and PM3: System Performance federal performance targets

- a. APO Transportation Planning Technician James Stapfer presented on the proposed PM1, PM2, and PM3 performance targets. The APO needs to update PM1 targets on an annual basis. PM2 and PM3 targets are revisited every two years. The deadline to approve PM1 targets is Feb. 28, 2025, with PM2 and PM3 targets needing approval by March 20, 2025.
- b. Mr. Stapfer proposed the following targets to be considered for TAC recommendation to the Policy Board:

Performance Measure	Proposed 2025 Target
Fatalities	7.8
Fatality Rate (per 100 million vehicle miles traveled (100 MVMT))	0.607
Serious Injuries	23.0
Serious Injury Rate (per 100 MVMT)	1.946

## PM1: Transportation Safety

## Attachment C

Performance Measure	Proposed 2025 Target
Non-Motorized Fatalities and Serious Injuries	6.2

## PM2: Infrastructure

Performance Measure	Proposed 2023 Target	Proposed 2025 Target
Interstate Pavement in Good Condition	90%	90%
Interstate Pavement in Poor Condition	1%	1%
Non-Interstate National Highway System (NHS) Pavement in Good Condition	65%	65%
Non-Interstate NHS Pavement in Poor Condition	1%	1%
NHS Bridges in Good Condition	60%	60%
NHS Bridges in Poor Condition	1%	1%

## PM3: System Performance

Performance Measure	Proposed 2023 Target	Proposed 2025 Target
Interstate Travel Time Reliability	100%	100%
Non-Interstate NHS Travel Time Reliability	91%	91%
Interstate Truck Travel Time Reliability	1.22	1.22

TAC representatives recommended Policy Board approval/adoption of the targets listed above.

## **3.** Consideration of the Saint Cloud APO-Managed Carbon Reduction Program (CRP) regional priorities and solicitation guidance.

a. APO Associate Transportation Planner Alex McKenzie asked the TAC to consider several scenarios regarding the upcoming APO-Managed Carbon Reduction Program solicitation. In terms of scoring, Mr. McKenzie asked for TAC recommendations regarding the weighting of project categories (Electrification; Travel Options; and Low Carbon Infrastructure and System Management). Mr. McKenzie presented options on adjusting the weights between the carbon effectiveness tool and the co-benefits of the application at the onset of the application or if the weights should be applied at the end. TAC representatives recommended the carbon effectiveness-to-co-benefit ratio remain at 50/50 and that no additional weighting be added to the scoring process.

- b. Mr. McKenzie asked TAC representatives to weigh in on a possible policy discussion regarding the public fleet electrification project eligibility. APO staff had presented a recommendation per the suggestion of the TAC at the August meeting. TAC representatives opted to forgo this discussion.
- c. Finally, Mr. McKenzie presented the draft co-benefit text to be included in the application. This text was modified by APO staff from the original application distributed from the Minnesota Department of Transportation's (MnDOT's) Office of Sustainability and Public Health. TAC representatives recommended Policy Board approval of the new co-benefit text be added to the APO-Managed CRP application.

### 4. Consideration of the 2025 Technical Advisory Committee meeting schedule.

a. Ms. Johnson presented the proposed TAC meeting schedule for 2025. Of note, this proposed schedule includes two meetings in February. This is due to the conflict with the Minnesota City Engineer's Conference that occurs during the last week of January. TAC representatives approved the meeting schedule.

Suggested Action: None, informational.



TO:Saint Cloud Area Planning Organization Policy BoardFROM:Vicki Johnson, Senior Transportation PlannerRE:Final Draft Looking Ahead 2050 Metropolitan Transportation PlanDATE:Sept. 30, 2024

By Federal regulation, the Metropolitan Transportation Plan (MTP) must be updated at least every five years. The last MTP was approved on Oct. 30, 2019. APO staff are finalizing the development of the 2050 MTP – Looking Ahead 2050.

As the region's long-range, multimodal, surface transportation plan, the MTP establishes a vision for transportation in the region, along with establishing goals, objectives, and performance measures. The MTP also documents the significant transportation projects which are eligible for future federal funding assistance by virtue of being included in the MTP.

The APO's Policy Board approved the release of the draft document at the July 11 meeting.

Between Policy Board approval to release the draft and the ultimate release of the draft for the 30-day public comment period, APO staff had received comments Federal Highway Administration (FHWA), Federal Transit Administration (FTA), and various offices within the Minnesota Department of Transportation (MnDOT) on the draft plan. These comments instructed APO staff to add additional components to the Looking Ahead 2050 MTP including additional information on Metro Bus (including updating the financial analysis and incorporating projected operation expenses over the duration of this planning horizon), a comprehensive comparison of the performance measures (PMs) for transportation safety, infrastructure, and system performance that were documented in the 2045 MTP and were listed in the 2050 MTP, and other minor details (typos).

On Aug. 8, 2024, APO staff released the document for public comment. APO staff completed the following regarding public engagement:

- Updated the APO's website.
- Distributed notification via direct email and The Oxcart.
- Social media (Facebook, Instagram, Nextdoor, TikTok, YouTube).
- Flyer distribution.
- Press releases, including a legal notice and radio interview.
- Contracting with the Center for African Immigrants and Refugees Organization (CAIRO).

Public input was solicited online via a StoryMap and ArcGIS Survey123 as well as nine jurisdictional/agency surveys related to the capacity expansion/system preservation projects identified in the MTP.

APO staff also presented the draft MTP at five events/meetings; held four pop-ups; conducted two youth-directed focus groups; and hosted two virtual public discussion forums.

All comments received on the draft plan can be found in Appendix R along with the APO staff disposition of those comments. Project specific comments can also be found under the appropriate jurisdiction's section in Chapter 7: Transportation Infrastructure Investment.

Toward the end of the public comment period, MnDOT's Office of Sustainability and Public Health had requested some additional changes to the draft. Those changes focused on updating language surrounding Minnesota's most recent greenhouse gas emissions legislation. Those changes were incorporated into the respective chapters.

As of 5 p.m. on Saturday, Sept. 7, 2024, APO staff concluded public engagement on the draft.

Below are the links to the finalized draft sections of the plan.

- <u>Document Formalities</u>: (https://tinyurl.com/4csk5b9c)
  - This section includes the cover, disclaimer, Title VI and Title II assurances, a copy of the APO's approval resolution, and a comprehensive glossary and acronyms list.
- <u>Executive Summary</u>: (https://tinyurl.com/37tswc5h)
  - This section summarizes the Looking Ahead 2050 document and provides a brief discussion of each chapter included in the plan.
- Chapter 1: Introduction (https://tinyurl.com/22nbdxdu).
  - This chapter provides a brief overview of the federal requirements of a Metropolitan Transportation Plan as well as detailing the role of a Metropolitan Planning Organization (MPO). This chapter also provides an overview of local, regional, and state planning efforts and the APO's commitment to public engagement and transportation equity.
- Chapter 2: Existing Conditions (https://tinyurl.com/2xj48wky).
  - This chapter provides a comprehensive overview of the region based on a "snapshot in time" (2020). This chapter contains a summary of who lives here (demographics), where are people traveling (commuting and other various trips), when are people traveling (peak travel times), and what are they using to travel (a look at the surface transportation network – roads, bridges, transit, active transportation, freight – as well as Northstar, Amtrak, Uber/Lyft, Jefferson Lines). Sprinkled throughout this section is a discussion on performance-based planning and programming (i.e., our performance measures and targets). This chapter concludes with a conversation on the local economy and the role surface transportation plays.
- Chapter 3: Environmental Conditions (https://tinyurl.com/3murf75a).
  - This chapter provides an overview of the existing conditions of our natural and physical environment. Within this chapter, there is information regarding air quality, water quality, wildlife and habitat, locally environmentally sensitive areas (for Saint Cloud and Sauk Rapids), soil, and cultural and historic properties. This chapter also addresses climate change and the need for resiliency planning. Comments from local environmental planners about the relationship between transportation and the environment are also presented here. Overall, this chapter really focuses on the impacts transportation has on the environment and vice versa.
- Chapter 4: <u>2050 Regional Vision</u> (https://tinyurl.com/ufurj38t).
  - This section provides an overview of the federal and state transportation goals of which the APO's planning efforts need to align. This chapter

provides a brief overview of the APO's visioning process, the visioning themes developed through our extensive public engagement process, as well as the objectives/strategies/performance measures to be used to help our region achieve our vision.

- $\circ$  The six visioning themes include:
  - System and Environmental Stewardship: Protecting and preserving our existing infrastructure and environmental assets.
  - Multimodal Connections: Providing a safe and equitable multimodal transportation network affordable for people of all ages and abilities to travel using their preferred modal choice.
  - Congestion Management: Mindfully planning, developing, and operating an innovative transportation network to minimize unnecessary travel delays.
  - **Interregional Connections:** Supporting an economically vibrant region through developing and preserving vital connections to other state, national, and global centers of commerce.
  - Technological Advancements: Understanding and planning for future innovative transportation technologies and encouraging their presence and incorporation into the region's existing transportation network.
- Chapter 5: <u>Travel Demand Modeling</u> (https://tinyurl.com/3wx7krax).
  - This chapter provides an overview of the APO's TDM the software used to simulate current travel conditions and forecast future scenarios. Included in this section is a simplified review of the model calibration process as well as the data inputted into the model to reflect travel patterns in 2020/2021 (our base year). From there, the chapter moves on to discuss the development of the 2050 No-Build model which factors for future growth/development with no capacity expansion of the current transportation network. Model results from this No-Build scenario are included in this section.
- Chapter 6: <u>Transportation Funding</u> (https://tinyurl.com/35bdxxfj).
  - Future revenue forecasting was vetted on an individual jurisdictional/agency level prior to the development of the list of 2050 MTP projects. This section reviews the various types of funding sources available for transportation infrastructure improvements. This chapter contains the methodology APO staff utilized for forecasting transportation revenues (which was approved by jurisdictional/agency staffers). Concluding this section is a review of revenue forecasts by agency/jurisdiction.
- Chapter 7: <u>Transportation Infrastructure Investments</u> (https://tinyurl.com/yrd6xpa2).
  - This section outlines the methodology used to develop the MTP project list as well as the process in which MTP projects were fiscally constrained. Chapter 7 reviews each jurisdiction/agencies capacity expansion and system preservation project that have been incorporated into the MTP. Environmental comments on specific projects are also listed by jurisdiction. This chapter concludes with a conversation about the 2050 Build Model results with a comparison to the 2020 Base Year and 2050 No-Build Model.
- Chapter 8: <u>Urban Beltline Corridor</u> (https://tinyurl.com/mryhftfe).
  - This specific section is a deep dive into the urban beltline corridor. Chapter 8

provides background information regarding the beltline, the planning efforts that have been completed to date for the beltline as well as the anticipated construction costs for the uncompleted sections of the beltline (in 2023 dollars). This section includes a model scenario (2050 Build + Beltline) and a discussion of the impacts the completed beltline will have to the region. A closer look at the role/impact of the Mississippi River Bridge crossing is also included.

- Chapter 9: <u>Transportation & Technology</u> (https://tinyurl.com/y54cffxc).
  - This section details some of the anticipated technological advances occurring within the transportation sector. This includes a discussion on electric vehicles (EVs); e-bikes; and connected and automated vehicles. Chapter 9 provides a reviews the progress of each of these technologies is in terms of development and implementation/adoption across the country/state/region.
- Chapter 10: <u>Implementation</u> (https://tinyurl.com/3c62k6u6).
  - $\circ~$  This section includes recommendations for various planning studies/initiatives to be undertaken by the APO in order to assist the region in meeting its desired vision.
- <u>Completed Appendices</u> (https://tinyurl.com/282vnpuu).
  - Appendices A-R are data heavy. Included in this section is Census data (for the demographic section), ridership data (Metro Bus, Northstar, Amtrak, Saint Cloud Regional Airport), technical memos for the TDM, revenue projections by jurisdiction, and the MTP visioning white paper, and the concluding public engagement appendix (Appendix R).

At the Sept. 26, 2024, Technical Advisory Committee (TAC) meeting, TAC representatives voted to recommend Policy Board approval/adoption of the Looking Ahead 2050 Metropolitan Transportation Plan.

Suggested Action: Approval/Adoption.

Benton County 2050 MTP Projects Benton County MTP Projects

Bodies of Water

Legend

APO Parks

# Capacity Expansion

Project ID	Roadway	Termini	Cost (in millions)	Timeframe	
BC3	35th Street NE	MN 15 to US 10	\$2.624	Short-Term (2025- 2028)	
BC5	CSAH 29	Fifth Avenue NE (CR 57) to CSAH 1 (Mayhew Lake Road)	\$3.099	Short-Term (2025- 2028)	
BC2	Benton Drive	First Street (CSAH 29) to 18th Street NW	\$5.377	Mid-Term (2029- 2034)	
BC4	CSAH 29	Mayhew Lake Road (CSAH 1) to 35th Avenue NE	\$6.692	Mid-Term (2029- 2034)	
BC6	CSAH 29	Fifth Avenue NE (CR 57) to US 10	\$2.190	Mid-Term (2029- 2034)	
BC1	Mayhew Lake Road (CSAH 1)	35th Street (CSAH 29) to MN 23	\$42.665	Long-Term (2035- 2050)	
BC10	CSAH 8	Second Street SE to MN 23	\$6.220	Long-Term (2035- 2050)	

	<b>.</b>
Total Cost (in time-frame of expenditure)	\$68.886 million
Total Projects	7

# System Preservation

Project ID	Roadway	Termini	Cost (in millions)	Timeframe
BC7	CSAH 3	CSAH 1 to APO eastern planning boundary	\$3.300	Short-Term (2025- 2028)
BC11	CR 57 (Quarry Road)	CSAH 3 to CSAH 29	\$6.269	Mid-Term (2029- 2034)
BC8	CSAH 33	Third Street N to Ninth Street	\$2.859	Long-Term (2035- 2050)
BC9	CSAH 8	MN 23 to CR 45/80	\$2.012	Long-Term (2035- 2050)
	Total Projec	s Total Cost (in time-frame of e	:xpenditure)	

## Attachment D2

\$14.440 million

4











## Attachment D2



ojects
TP Pro
50 M <sup>-</sup>
nty 20
s Cou
Stearn

# Capacity Expansion

Project ID	Roadway	Termini	Cost (in millions)	Timeframe
ST2	CSAH 133 (Second Street) in Sartell	Existing CSAH 133 to 19th Avenue (3/4 mile)	\$2.309	Short-Term (2025- 2028)
ST8	CR 134 in Saint Cloud	Sauk River Bridge to Pinecone Road	\$5.008	Mid-Term (2029- 2034)
ST4	CSAH 75 (Second Street S) in Saint Cloud	MN 15 to 33rd Avenue S	\$4.364	Long-Term (2035- 2050)
ST1	CSAH 1 in Saint Cloud	Ninth Avenue N to CR 120	\$9.719	Long-Term (2035- 2050)

Total Cost (in time-frame of expenditure)	\$21.399 million
Total Projects	4

0
÷
Ä
N.
~
Ŵ
Ψ
2
Ð
Ţ
N.
>
S
-

ST10CSAH 2 (Central Avenue N) in Brockway Township421st Street to CSAH 1\$3.534ST11CSAH 1 in Brockway TownshipCSAH 17 to northern Stearns County border\$5.775ST12CSAH 138 in Waite Park and SaintMN 23 to CR 121\$12.929ST13CSAH 136 in Saint Cloud and SaintCR 115 to 33rd Street S\$13.029	Project ID	Roadway	Termini	Cost (in millions)	Timeframe
ST11CSAH 1 in Brockway TownshipCSAH 17 to northern Stearns County border\$5.775ST12CSAH 138 in Waite Park and SaintMN 23 to CR 121\$12.929Joseph TownshipST13CSAH 136 in Saint Cloud and SaintCR 115 to 33rd Street S\$13.029	ST10	CSAH 2 (Central Avenue N) in Brockway Township	421st Street to CSAH 1	\$3.534	Short-Term (2025- 2028)
ST12CSAH 138 in Waite Park and SaintMN 23 to CR 121\$12.929Joseph TownshipST13CSAH 136 in Saint Cloud and SaintCR 115 to 33rd Street S\$13.029	ST11	CSAH 1 in Brockway Township	CSAH 17 to northern Stearns County border	\$5.775	Short-Term (2025- 2028)
ST13 CSAH 136 in Saint Cloud and Saint CR 115 to 33rd Street S \$\$	ST12	CSAH 138 in Waite Park and Saint Joseph Township	MN 23 to CR 121	\$12.929	Long-Term (2035- 2050)
Augusta	ST13	CSAH 136 in Saint Cloud and Saint Augusta	CR 115 to 33rd Street S	\$13.029	Long-Term (2035- 2050)

Total Cost (in time-frame of expenditure)	\$35.267 million
Total Projects	4

## Attachment D2









## Capacity Expansion

Project ID	Roadway	Termini	Cost (in millions)	Timeframe
SC9	Heatherwood Road	47th Street to 60th Street S	\$8.389	Short-Term (2025- 2028)
SC1	40th Street S	Cooper Avenue to Roosevelt Road	\$14.015	Mid-Term (2029- 2034)
SC2	40th Street S	Oak Grove Road to Cooper Avenue	\$7.090	Mid-Term (2029- 2034)
SC6	322nd Street	CSAH 133 to CSAH 4	\$7.168	Mid-Term (2029- 2034)
SC3	Third Street N	31st Avenue N to Ninth Avenue N	\$21.981	Long-Term (2035- 2050)
SC10	West Saint Germain Street	Seventh Street S/22nd Street S to 33rd Street S	\$16.957	Long-Term (2035- 2050)
SC5	Pinecone Road S	CR 134 to CSAH 120	\$7.914	Long-Term (2035- 2050)
SC4	Ninth Avenue N	15th Street N to Eighth Street N/Veterans Drive	\$11.387	Long-Term (2035- 2050)
SC7	Clearwater Road/Ninth Street S	University Drive to 22nd Street S	\$5.525	Long-Term (2035- 2050)
SC8	Cooper Avenue	Overpass of 1-94	\$5.701	Long-Term (2035- 2050)

Total Cost (in time-frame of expenditure)	\$106.127 million
<b>Total Projects</b>	10









# System Preservation





Total Cost (in time-frame of expenditure)

**Fotal Projects** 

13

\$55.395



# City of Saint Joseph 2050 MTP Projects

## Capacity Expansion

ct	Roadway	Termini	Cost (in millions)	Timeframe	
	Gateway Avenue	Minnesota Street to Lake Sarah	\$2.035	Short-Term (2025- 2028)	
I	20th Avenue SE	Intersection of Jade Road and College Avenue to 16th Avenue	\$4.721	Mid-Term (2029- 2034)	
	Westwood Parkway	Current terminus to Pearl Drive	\$11.578	Long-Term (2035- 2050)	
	Field Street	Seventh Avenue to 16th Avenue	\$7.231	Long-Term (2035- 2050)	

_	
Total Cost (in time-frame of expenditure)	\$25.564 million
<b>Total Projects</b>	4

# System Preservation

Project ID	Roadway	Termini	Cost (in millions)	Timeframe
SJ11	Second Avenue NW	Minnesota Street to CSAH 75	\$0.828	Short-Term (2025- 2028)
SJ13	Minnesota Street W	CSAH 2 to College Avenue	\$4.248	Short-Term (2025- 2028)
SJ17	Callaway Street	College Avenue to Fourth Avenue SE	\$1.334	Short-Term (2025- 2028)
SJ12	College Avenue	Minnesota Street to CSAH 75	\$0.419	Short-Term (2025- 2028)
SJ14	Baker Street	Second Avenue SE to Minnesota Street E	\$4.309	Mid-Term (2029- 2034)
SJ15	Northland Drive	CSAH 75 to 200 LF north of Jasmine Lane E	\$2.558	Mid-Term (2029- 2034)
SJ16	Field Street	College Avenue to Seventh Avenue SE	\$3.535	Mid-Term (2029- 2034)

Attachment D2

<u>**Fotal Cost (in time-frame of expenditure)**</u>

**Total Projects** 

 $\sim$ 

\$17.232 million





## Capacity Expansion

Project ID	Roadway	Termini	Cost (in millions)	Timeframe
S1	Leander Avenue	CSAH 120 to Heritage Drive	\$6.426	Short-Term (2025- 2028)
S3	19th Avenue N	11th Street to 15th Street	\$0.894	Short-Term (2025- 2028)
S2	Roberts Road	Pinecone Road to CSAH 4	\$7.284	Short-Term (2025- 2028)
S8	Fourth Avenue S	Second Street S to Fourth Street S	\$1.005	Short-Term (2025- 2028)
6S	15th Street N	Pinecone Road to 19th Avenue N	\$4.808	Mid-Term (2029- 2034)
S6	Heritage Drive	Huntington Drive (west leg) to CSAH 1	\$3.669	Mid-Term (2029- 2034)
S7	Heritage Drive	Pinecone Road to 19th Avenue S	\$2.703	Mid-Term (2029- 2034)
S18	23rd Street S	Seventh Avenue S to Leander Avenue	\$1.438	Mid-Term (2029- 2034)
S19	15th Street S	Pinecone Road to Roberts Road	\$1.549	Mid-Term (2029- 2034)
S20	Beetle Boulevard	17th Street S to Scout Drive	\$0.588	Mid-Term (2029- 2034)
S5	Pinecone Road	Heritage Drive to Second Street S	\$4.439	Mid-Term (2029- 2034)

Total Cost (in time-frame of expenditure)

**Total Projects** 

11

\$34.804 million



Atta	chn	nent	t D2

2050



# System Preservation

Project ID	Roadway	Termini	Cost (in millions)	Timeframe
S15	19th Avenue S	Sixth Street S to First Street N	\$2.537	Short-Term (2025- 2028)
S10	Townline Road	CSAH 4 to First Street N	\$0.371	Short-Term (2025- 2028)
S4	LeSauk Drive	Riverside Drive to Dehler Drive	\$1.070	Short-Term (2025- 2028)
S14	Pinecone Road	CSAH 120 to Roberts Road	\$3.414	Mid-Term (2029- 2034)
S16	2-1/2 Street N	Pinecone Road to 19th Avenue S	\$2.766	Mid-Term (2029- 2034)
S17	Heritage Drive	Pinecone Road to west leg of Huntington Drive	<b>\$5.014</b>	Mid-Term (2029- 2034)
S11	2-1/2 Street N	Pinecone Road to Third Avenue N	\$3.862	Mid-Term (2029- 2034)
S13	12th Street N	Pinecone Road to Riverside Drive	<b>\$5.103</b>	Long-Term (2035- 2050)
S12	Seventh Street N	Pinecone Road to Riverside Drive	\$7.142	Long-Term (2035- 2050)
S21	35th Street N	Pinecone Road to Blackberry Circle West	\$7.504	Long-Term (2035- 2050)

Total Cost (in time-frame of expenditure	\$38.782 million
Total Projects	10







# City of Sauk Rapids 2050 MTP Projects

## Capacity Expansion

Project ID	Roadway	Termini	Cost (in millions)	Timeframe
SR9	13th Avenue NE	Existing 19th Street N to Golden Spike Road	\$2.710	Long-Term (2035- 2050)
	Total Projec	ts Total Cost (in time-frame of (	expenditure	

Total Cost (in time-frame of expenditure)	\$2.710 million
<b>Total Projects</b>	1









Systen   Systen   Benton Driv   Sixth Avenu   Sixth Avenu <th< th=""><th>Roadway Second Avenue S Second Avenue S 11th Street N First Avenue N Second Avenue S Fifth Street S Fifth Street S Fifth Street N 11th Street N 11th Street N Second Avenue N Ninth Avenue N Sixth Avenue South an Sixth Avenue South an 10th Avenue South an Sixth Avenue N Benton Drive Benton Drive Benton Drive Benton Drive Summit Avenue</th><th>ProjectIDSR1SR1SR19SR19SR19SR12SR2SR2SR3SR12SR12SR13SR13SR13SR13SR14SR13SR13SR15SR15SR15SR16SR17SR17SR11SR11SR11SR13</th></th<>	Roadway Second Avenue S Second Avenue S 11th Street N First Avenue N Second Avenue S Fifth Street S Fifth Street S Fifth Street N 11th Street N 11th Street N Second Avenue N Ninth Avenue N Sixth Avenue South an Sixth Avenue South an 10th Avenue South an Sixth Avenue N Benton Drive Benton Drive Benton Drive Benton Drive Summit Avenue	ProjectIDSR1SR1SR19SR19SR19SR12SR2SR2SR3SR12SR12SR13SR13SR13SR13SR14SR13SR13SR15SR15SR15SR16SR17SR17SR11SR11SR11SR13
otal Projects T	To	
otal Projects T	To	
otal Projects	Ĕ	
_		
Benton Uriv	Summit Avenue	SR11
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Ţ
Ninth Avenu	18th Street N	SR17
MN 15 to 4-	18th Street N	SR16
I NILA SURGE	benton Urive	CLAC
1		
Second Stre	Summit Avenue	SR14
CSAH 3 to C	10th Avenue NE	SR13
nd North First Street	Sixth Avenue South an	SR10
Second Stre	Ninth Avenue N	SR8
Eighth Stree	Second Avenue N	SR7
Sixth Avenu	11th Street N	SR6
Summit Ave	Fifth Street S	SR5
Eighth Stree	Fourth Avenue N	SR4
Second Ave	First Street S	SR12
Second Ave	11th Street N	SR3
10th Street	Second Avenue S	SR2
Benton Driv	First Avenue N	SR18
First Avenue	11th Street N	SR19
Benton Driv	Second Avenue S	SR1
y	Roadway	Project ID
	Syster   Benton Driv   Benton Driv   Benton Driv   Benton Driv   Second Ave   Second Ave   Sixth Aven   Sixth Aven   First Street   A North   First Street   Second Street   Second Street   A North   First Street   Second Street   Second Street   A North   First Street   MN 15 to 4-   Ninth Aven   Ninth Aven	Syster     Roadway   Syster     Second Avenue S   Benton Driv     Tirst Avenue N   Erirst Avenu     First Avenue N   Benton Driv     First Street N   Second Avenue S     11th Street N   Second Avenue N     Fifth Street S   Summit Avenue N     Sixth Avenue N   Sixth Avenue South and North     Sixth Avenue N   Sixth Avenue N     Sixth Aven

Attachment D2





Bob Gross Par







# System Preservation

Project ID	Roadway	Termini	Cost (in millions)	Timeframe
Μ1	MN 23	US 10/MN 23 interchange project	\$49.000	Short-Term (2025- 2028)
M2	I-94	From eastern planning area boundary to western planning area boundary	\$0.500	Short-Term (2025- 2028)
MЗ	MN 15	Bridge 73019 over MN 15	\$0.800	Short-Term (2025- 2028)
M4	I-94	Bridges 73877 (WB) and 73878 (EB) over Sauk River in Saint Joseph Township	\$1.500	Short-Term (2025- 2028)
M5	MN 15	Bridge 05003 over US 10	\$1.850	Short-Term (2025- 2028)
M6	MN 23	0.455 miles east of 93rd Avenue to MN 15 in Waite Park, eastbound and westbound	\$12.985	Short-Term (2025- 2028)
Δ	MN 95	From junction with MN 23 to eastern planning boundary (entire project extends to Benton/Mille Lacs County line)	\$7.470 (entire project)	Mid-Term (2029- 2034)
M8	I-94	Bridges 73855 and 73856 over MN 15	\$2.405	Mid-Term (2029- 2034)
6W	MN 23	MN 15 to Fourth Avenue in Saint Cloud	\$7.155	Mid-Term (2029- 2034)
M10	I-94	Bridge 73873 over MN 15	\$1.300	Mid-Term (2029- 2034)
M11	US 10	CR 40 (Halfway Crossing) to Benton CSAH 4	\$15.700 (entire project)	Mid-Term (2029- 2034)
M12	US 10	1.2 miles east of MN 23 to southern planning boundary (eastbound lanes only)	\$18.490	Mid-Term (2029- 2034)
M13	MN 15	Stearns CSAH 47 in Saint Augusta to Benton CSAH 33	\$12.000	Mid-Term (2029- 2034)
M14	I-94	I-94 from Stearns CSAH 75/Roosevelt Road to Stearns CSAH 2	\$0.750	Mid-Term (2029- 2034)
M15	MN 23	1.1 miles east of CSAH 12 west of Richmond to 0.5 miles east of 93rd Avenue (EB & WB)	\$15.000 (entire project)	Mid-Term (2029- 2034)
M16	I-94	Bridge 73869 (WB) and 73870 (EB) over CSAH 2	\$2.300	Mid-Term (2029- 2034)
M17	I-94	East end of Bridge 73865 and 73866 1.5 miles west of MN 23 to SE end of bridges 73853 and 73854 over CSAH 75	\$14.614	Mid-Term (2029- 2034)
				ī
	Total Project	s Total Cost (in time-frame of ex	penditure)	



\$163.819 million

17







T. 320.252.7568 F. 320.252.6557

TO:	Saint Cloud Area Planning Organization Policy Board
FROM:	James Stapfer, Planning Technician
RE:	Consideration of Year 2025 PM Targets
DATE:	Sept. 30, 2024

The Moving Ahead for Progress in the 21st Century (MAP-21) Act instituted transportation performance measurement (PM) for state departments of transportation (DOTs) and metropolitan planning organizations (MPOs) like the APO. MAP-21 directed the FHWA and the FTA to develop performance measures to assess a range of factors. State DOTs and MPOs are required to establish targets for each performance measure. In 2015, the Fixing America's Surface Transportation (FAST) Act was signed into law and expanded upon MAP-21 performance-based outcomes and provided long-term funding certainty for surface transportation infrastructure planning and investment. Performance measures were built into the FAST Act to emphasize planning and programming philosophies that are based upon continuously collected transportation data. Additionally, the FAST Act included requirements for state DOTs and MPOs to establish targets for various performance measures. These targets set measurable benchmarks for FTA, FHWA, state DOTs and MPOs to easily track their progress on safety, pavement condition, and system reliability goals. This performance-based approach is meant to improve accountability of Federal transportation investments, assess risks related to different performance levels, and increase transparency.

## PM1: TRANSPORTATION SAFETY

Safety Performance Management supports the Highway Safety Improvement Program (HSIP) and requires State Departments of Transportation (DOTs) and Metropolitan Planning Organizations (MPOs) to set HSIP targets for five safety performance measures. The goal of the safety measures is to achieve a significant reduction in traffic fatalities and serious injuries on all public roads. MPOs must establish their HSIP targets before Feb. 28 of the calendar year for which they apply.

There are five performance measures for the purpose of carrying out the HSIP. Each performance measure is reported using a five-year rolling average.

- 1. Number of fatalities.
- 2. Rate of fatalities (Per 100 million Vehicle Miles Traveled (VMT)).
- 3. Number of serious injuries.
- 4. Rate of serious injuries (Per 100 million VMT).
- 5. Number of non-motorized fatalities and non-motorized serious injuries.

The APO's responsibilities when setting targets include:



T. 320.252.7568 F. 320.252.6557

- Establish HSIP targets for all public roads in the metropolitan planning area (MPA) in coordination with the State.
- Estimate VMT for all public roads within the MPA for rate targets.
- Coordinate with the State and include the safety performance measures and the MPO's safety targets for those measures in the metropolitan transportation plan (MTP).
- Integrate into the metropolitan transportation planning process, the safety goals, objectives, performance measures, and targets described in other State safety transportation plans and processes such as applicable portions of the HSIP, including the state's Strategic Highway Safety Plan (SHSP).
- Include a description in the APO's Transportation Improvement Program (TIP) of the anticipated effect of programmed projects toward achieving HSIP targets in the MTP, linking investment priorities in the TIP to those safety targets.

MPOs, including the APO, must either support state targets or develop their own before Feb. 28, 2025.

Attachment E2 includes the 2014-2023 safety data for the APO region.

Performance Measures	2023 Results	2023 Targets	2024 Targets	Proposed 2025 Targets	MnDOT 2025 Target
Fatalities	7.8	8.0	8.0	7.8	352.4
Fatality Rate (100 MVMT)	0.607	0.626	0.626	0.607	0.582
Serious Injuries	33.0	23.0	23.0	23.0	1,463.4
Serious Injury Rate (100 MVMT)	2.54	1.946	1.946	1.946	2.470
Non-Motorized Fatalities and Serious Injuries	6.6	6.6	6.2	6.2	258.4

The following table details the safety related targets and is updated every year.

## PM2: INFRASTRUCTURE

Pavement Performance Management supports the National Highway Performance Program (NHPP) pavements on the National Highway System (NHS) and requires State DOTs and MPOs to set NHPP targets for four safety performance measures. The goal of the pavement condition measures is to maintain pavements at or above a minimum condition level.

There are four performance measures for the purpose of carrying out the NHPP:

- 1. Percent of Interstate pavements in Good condition.
- 2. Percent of Interstate pavements in Poor condition.



T. 320.252.7568 F. 320.252.6557

- 3. Percent of non-Interstate NHS pavements in Good condition.
- 4. Percent of non-Interstate NHS pavements in Poor condition.

Pavement condition is calculated using the following measures:

- Roughness (IRI).
- Rutting (asphalt pavements only).
- Cracking.
- Faulting (concrete pavements only).

If interstate pavement falls below the minimum level (5% of interstate lane-miles in poor condition) for the most recent year, MnDOT must obligate National Highway Performance Program and transfer Surface Transportation Program (STP) funds to address interstate condition in next fiscal year.

Attachment E3 includes the 2023 pavement condition ratings for the APO region.

MPOs, including the APO, must support state targets or develop their own before Feb. 28, 2025.

Unlike PM1 targets, PM2 targets are updated, at a minimum, every four years. However, these targets must be revisited every two years – thus giving the state and/or MPO the opportunity to adjust.

**MnDOT MnDOT** Proposed Proposed 2023 Performance 2023 2023 2023 2025 2025 Measures Results Targets Target Targets Targets Target Good 84.2% 90% 90% 60% 90% 60% Condition 2% 2% Poor Condition 0.0% 1% 1% 1%

The following table details the *Interstate* pavement condition targets.

The following figure details the *<u>non-Interstate NHS</u>* pavement conditions.

Performance Measures	2023 Results	2023 Targets	Proposed 2023 Targets	MnDOT 2023 Target	Proposed 2025 Targets	MnDOT 2025 Target	
Good Condition	58.4%	65%	65%	55%	65%	40%	
<b>Poor Condition</b>	0.3%	1%	1%	2%	1%	2%	



Т. 320.252.7568 F. 320.252.6557

Similar to pavement condition, bridge condition management supports the NHPP and requires State DOTs and MPOs to set NHPP targets for two bridge condition performance measures. The goals of the NHPP are: to provide support for the condition and performance of the NHS (National Highway System); to provide support for the construction of new facilities on the NHS; and to ensure that investments of Federal-aid funds in highway construction are directed to support progress toward the achievement of performance targets established in a State's asset management plan for the NHS.

There are two performance measures for the purpose of carrying out the NHPP.

- 1. Percentage of NHS bridge deck area classified as in Good condition.
- 2. Percentage of NHS bridge deck area classified as in Poor condition.

Attachment E4 includes the 2023 bridge condition ratings for the APO region.

Performance Measures	2023 Results	2023 Targets	Proposed 2023 Targets	MnDOT 2023 Target	Proposed 2025 Targets	MnDOT 2025 Target	
Good Condition	15.3%	60%	<b>60%</b>	30%	60%	20%	
<b>Poor Condition</b>	0%	1%	1%	5%	1%	5%	

The following details the condition of bridges located on the NHS.

## PM3: SYSTEM PERFORMANCE

Travel time reliability is defined as the consistency or dependability of travel times from day to day or across different times of the day. Level of Travel Time Reliability (LOTTR) is a comparison, expressed as a ratio, of the 80th percentile travel time of a reporting segment to the "normal" (50th percentile) travel time of a reporting segment occurring throughout a full calendar year.

Data collected from each reporting segment are a list of average travel times for all traffic (all vehicles) in 15-minute periods for the following time spans:

- a. Travel times occurring between the hours of 6 a.m. and 10 a.m. for every weekday (Monday-Friday) from Jan. 1 through Dec. 31 of the same year.
- b. Travel times occurring between the hours of 10 a.m. and 4 p.m. for every weekday (Monday-Friday) from Jan. 1 through Dec. 31 of the same year.
- c. Travel times occurring between the hours of 4 p.m. and 8 p.m. for every weekday (Monday-Friday) from Jan. 1 through Dec. 31 of the same year.
- d. Travel times occurring between the hours of 6 a.m. and 8 p.m. for every weekend day (Saturday-Sunday) from Jan. 1 through Dec. 31 of the same year.

E. admin@stcloudapo.org



T. 320.252.7568 F. 320.252.6557

There are two performance measures used to assess reliability:

- a. Percent of the person-miles traveled on the Interstate that are reliable; and
- b. Percent of person-miles traveled on the non-Interstate NHS that are reliable.

Similar to PM2, PM3 targets are updated, at a minimum, every four years. However, these targets must be revisited every two years – thus giving the state and/or MPO the opportunity to adjust.

MPOs, including the APO, must either support state targets or develop their own before March 20, 2025.

Attachment E5 includes the 2023 travel time reliability indices for the APO region.

The following table details the LOTTR targets for both the Interstate and non-Interstate NHS.

Performance Measures	2023 Results	2023 Targets	Proposed 2023 Targets	MnDOT 2023 Target	Proposed 2025 Targets	MnDOT 2025 Target		
Interstate	100%	100%	<b>100%</b>	82%	100%	82%		
Non- Interstate NHS	96.8%	90%	91%	90%	91%	90%		

In addition to LOTTR, PM3 must include information on the Truck Travel Time Reliability (TTTR) index.

TTTR is calculated using the 95<sup>th</sup> percentile travel time divided by the Normal Truck Travel Time (50<sup>th</sup> percentile) and rounded to the nearest hundredth. This data set shall include, for each reporting segment, a ranked list of average truck travel times, to the nearest second, for 15-minute periods of a 24-hour period for an entire calendar year that:

- A. Includes "a.m. peak" travel times occurring between the hours of 6 a.m. and 10 a.m. for every weekday (Monday-Friday) from Jan. 1 through Dec. 31 of the same year.
- B. Includes "midday" travel times occurring between the hours of 10 a.m. and 4 p.m. for every weekday (Monday-Friday) from Jan. 1 through Dec. 31 of the same year.
- C. Includes "p.m. peak" travel times occurring between the hours of 4 p.m. and 8 p.m. for every weekday (Monday-Friday) from Jan. 1 through Dec. 31 of the same year.
- D. Includes "overnight" travel times occurring between the hours of 8 p.m. and 6 a.m. for every day (Sunday-Saturday) from Jan. 1 through Dec. 31 of the same year.
- E. Includes "weekend" travel times occurring between the hours of 6 a.m. and 8 p.m. for every weekend day (Saturday-Sunday) from Jan. 1 through Dec. 31 of the same year.



TTTR is only evaluated at the Interstate level.

MPOs must support state targets or develop their own before March 20, 2025.

Attachment E6 includes the 2023 TTTR indices for the APO region.

The following table details the TTTR index.

Performance Measures	2023 Results	2023 Targets	Proposed 2023 Targets	MnDOT 2023 Target	Proposed 2025 Targets	MnDOT 2025 Target
TTTR Index	1.20	1.24	1.22	1.4	1.22	1.4

## OVERALL RECOMMENDATIONS

APO staff received TAC recommendation for Policy Board approval of PM1, PM2, and PM3 targets. Overall, the targets established by MnDOT have been determined to be of limited value to the APO, especially when compared with the existing conditions and priorities of the APO. Therefore, by adopting different targets from the state, the APO can focus on localized issues within its region and target funding that will work toward the goals of the APO as established within the MTP.

**Requested Action Today:** Policy Board approval of the PM1, PM2, and PM3 targets.

VMT (5-year rolling average)	#N/A	#N/A	#N/A	#N/A	1,053,504,730	1,063,309,037	1,082,812,319	1,082,549,783	1,079,985,673	1,082,885,206	1,098,954,681	1,123,273,850	1,189,470,688	1,257,242,014	1,271,875,559	1,305,494,136	1,328,078,634	1,310,847,718
Vehicle Miles Travelled (VMT)	1,027,972,528	982,134,209	1,079,326,341	1,094,597,764	1,083,492,809	1,076,994,062	1,079,650,620	1,078,013,661	1,081,777,213	1,097,990,473	1,157,341,437	1,201,246,468	1,408,997,849	1,420,633,842	1,171,158,196	1,325,434,322	1,314,168,958	1,322,843,269
Serious Injuries Rate (100 Million VMT)(5-Year Rolling Average)	#N/A	#N/A	#N/A	#N/A	3.117	2.763	2.291	2.144	2.001	1.978	2.117	2.088	1.946	2.006	2.207	2.067	2.169	2.535
Serious Linjuries Rate (100 Million VMT)	4.183	4.582	2.872	2.101	1.846	2.414	2.223	2.134	1.387	1.730	3.111	2.081	1.419	1.689	2.732	2.414	2.587	3.251
Fatality Rate (100 Million VMT)(5-Year Rolling Average)	#N/A	#N/A	#N/A	#N/A	0.624	0.560	0.628	0.666	0.685	0.756	0.765	0.769	0.715	0.721	0.671	0.626	0.629	0.607
Fatality Rate (100 Million VMT)	0.876	0.305	0.649	0.365	0.923	0.557	0.648	0.835	0.462	1.275	0.605	0.666	0.568	0.493	1.025	0.377	0.685	0.454
Non- Motorized Fatalities and Serious Injuries (5- Year Rolling Average)	#N/A	#N/A	#N/A	#N/A	1.0	0.8	1.2	1.2	1.2	2.0	2.2	2.2	2.4	3.0	2.6	2.2	1.8	1.6
Non- Motorized Fatalities and Serious Injuries	2	0	2	0	1	1	2	2	0	5	2	2	ſ	3	3	0	0	2
Serious Injuries (5- Year Rolling Average)	#N/A	#N/A	#N/A	#N/A	32.4	29.0	24.8	23.2	21.6	21.4	23.4	23.6	23.0	24.8	27.4	26.6	28.4	33.0
Serious Injuries	43	45	31	23	20	26	24	23	15	19	36	25	20	24	32	32	34	43
Fatalities (5- Year Rolling Average)	#N/A	#N/A	#N/A	#N/A	6.6	6.0	6.8	7.2	7.4	8.2	8.4	8.6	8.4	8.8	8.4	8.0	8.2	7.8
Fatalities	6	CC CC	6	4	10	9	7	6	5	14	7	8	œ	7	12	5	6	9
Year	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023

Attachment E2











T. 320.252.7568 F. 320.252.6557

TO:	Saint Cloud Area Planning Organization Policy Board
FROM:	Alex McKenzie, Associate Transportation Planner
RE:	Carbon Reduction Program Scoring Rubric
DATE:	September 30, 2024

The Infrastructure Investment and Jobs Act (IIJA) established the Carbon Reduction Program (CRP) which provides federal funds for projects designed to reduce carbon emissions from surface transportation.

The CRP provides Minnesota with approximately \$20.9 million annually over five years to fund projects that reduce carbon emissions from surface transportation. Program funding is distributed across the state with some funds allocated proportionally based on population. Minnesota Department of Transportation (MnDOT) Districts, Metropolitan Planning Organizations (MPOs) – like the Saint Cloud APO – and Area Transportation Partnerships (ATPs) will select projects to receive CRP funding.

The APO's funding target for FY 2027, 2028, and 2029 is \$270,000 each fiscal year.

This funding, like most federal funding programs, requires a minimum 20% match for federal funds requested.

Projects eligible for CRP funding are broken into three categories: Electrification, Travel Options, and Low Carbon Infrastructure and System Management.

### Electrification projects include:

- Install EV or ZEV charging infrastructure.
- Purchase or lease EVs or ZEVs.
- Support EV and ZEV adoption through outreach and education.

### **Travel Options projects include:**

- Implement context sensitive design for travel options.
- Install and maintain infrastructure network improvements for walking, rolling, and bicycling.
- Add high-capacity transit options (capital).
- *Plan, design, and engineer infrastructure network improvements for walking, rolling, and bicycling.*
- Add intercity and regional public transit options (capital).
- Implement travel demand management.

### Low Carbon Infrastructure and System Management projects include:

- Support renewable energy generation.
- Optimize transportation system management and operations.

• Utilize low carbon methods for constructing and maintaining transportation infrastructure.

## **APO's Role in CRP Funding**

As an MPO, the Saint Cloud APO is directly allocated federal CRP funding. This funding can only be spent within the *urbanized* area of the MPO. Areas that fall within the APO's *planning area, but outside of the urbanized area*, are eligible to apply for CRP funding through the Central Minnesota Area Transportation Partnership (ATP-3).

For the past two CRP solicitations, the APO has used MnDOT's scoring criteria, but we have the flexibility to adjust the scoring rubric to better reflect the APO's priorities. Based on recent discussions with the TAC they have recommended the following.

### **Scoring Criteria for CRP Projects**

Projects are evaluated based on two main criteria:

### 1. Cost-Effectiveness:

The cost-effectiveness tool calculates the estimated cumulative carbon emission benefits (in metric tons of CO2e) and cost-effectiveness (in dollars per metric ton of CO2e reduced) per project.

### 2. Co-Benefits:

Projects are also scored based on four co-benefit categories: equity, safety, access, and health.

### TAC Recommendations

The TAC discussed how to weigh cost-effectiveness and co-benefits and recommended using a 50% cost-effectiveness / 50% co-benefits split in the scoring criteria.

Additionally, the TAC suggested aligning the co-benefit narratives with the goals of the Metropolitan Transportation Plan (MTP). The goal is to ensure that the narratives apply equally well to all three project types: electrification, travel options, and low-carbon infrastructure and system management. See Attachment F2 for the revised co-benefit text.

Suggested Action: Approve CRP Rubric Revisions



1040 County Road 4, Saint Cloud, MN 56303-0643 *T. 320.252.7568 F. 320.252.6557* 

## Sample text for Co-Benefits

## Co-benefit: Equity

## Application text:

Describe how this project benefits disadvantaged communities. These communities can be defined through the Justice40 framework or alternative framework for assessing disadvantaged populations, including households without a motor vehicle and people with disability (see Appendix A).

## Proposed APO text:

Describe how the proposed project benefits historically disadvantaged/traditionally underserved populations within the Saint Cloud APO. These communities can be defined through the Justice40 framework or alternative framework for assessing disadvantaged populations. See Appendix A for screening tools as well as maps reflecting areas with high concentrations of the following demographic subsets within the APO's planning area:

- Black, Indigenous, People-of-Color (BIPOC) populations.
- Low-income households.
- Limited English proficient populations.
- People with disabilities.
- Zero vehicle households.
- Individuals over the age of 65.
- Individuals under the age of 18.

(APO staff will develop maps using the most recently available ACS Five-Year Estimates)

## Co-benefit: Safety

## Application text:

Describe how this project will improve real or perceived safety concerns in the community. These can be identified in a safety study or plan. If the safety concerns are not identified in a plan, they may be identified with an alternative approach, such as providing an aerial photo of the safety concern. Describe whether the project occurs in an area with high rates of motor vehicle serious injury or fatal crashes and/or areas with high rates of non-motorized serious injury or fatal crashes and whether the project has a safety component that addresses these challenges (See Appendix B).

## Proposed APO text:

Electrification:

- **Electric Vehicles:** Describe the existing safety features/concerns with the existing fleet. Examples can include, but are not limited to, older models with outdated software technology, older vehicles that are in need of additional maintenance, etc. Describe the proposed safety improvements/features to be incorporated into the new fleet vehicles as a result of this project.
- **Electric Vehicle Charging Stations:** Describe the proposed location of the EV charging station in terms of safety. Examples can include, but are not limited to, the presence of lighting, comfortable waiting areas for individuals using the charging stations, surveillance, emergency call boxes, tamper resistant equipment, secure payment technology.

Travel Options and Low Carbon Infrastructure and System Management Projects:

Describe how this project will improve real or perceived safety concerns in the community. These can be identified in a safety study or plan. If the safety concerns are not identified in a plan, they may be identified with an alternative approach, such as providing an aerial photo of the safety concern. Describe whether the project occurs in an area with high rates of motor vehicle serious injury or fatal crashes and/or areas with high rates of non-motorized serious injury or fatal crashes and whether the project has a safety component that addresses these challenges. See Appendix B for screening tools as well as maps reflecting the observed crash rate locations within the APO's planning area.

(APO staff will develop maps using the most recently available observed crash rate by intersection for functionally classified roadways within the APO's planning area).

## Co-benefit: Access

## Application text:

Describe how the project improves non-motorized access and transit or shared mobility access to key destinations. This can include improvements that encourage these modes through both infrastructure and land use. Describe how the project improves travel efficiency (via driving, carpool or other methods) to key destinations and how the project improved traveler comfort.

## Proposed APO text:

Electrification:

- **Electric Vehicles:** Describe, if at all, how the proposed vehicles will be ADA accessible. Provide details on if the proposed vehicles will be utilized to aid in creating and/or providing more accessible transportation options for other modes (examples can include vehicles used to remove snow from sidewalks/shared use paths). Describe how the proposed vehicles will be utilized in comparison to non-EVs in your existing fleet. Examples:
  - Will this/these vehicle(s) be used sparingly or on an as-needed basis? Will these vehicles be used seasonally or year-round?

- Who or which department(s) will have access to these vehicles? How will your organization provide access through education/hands-on experience/data analysis to others within your organization?
- **Electric Vehicle Charging Stations:** Describe how the proposed project location will meet ADA compliant standards. This includes, but is not limited to, adequate space for exiting and entering a vehicle, free movement around the charging station, clear pathways and proximity to building entrances, and appropriate signage.

## **Travel Options:**

Describe how the proposed project improves multimodal travel options such as active transportation (walking/biking/rolling) and/or transit to allow people of all ages and abilities to travel to their destinations using their preferred modal choice.

Low Carbon Infrastructure and System Management Projects:

Describe how the proposed project improves travel efficiency to key destinations.

## Co-benefit: Health

## Application text:

Please describe how this project improves localized air quality, especially in communities with high rates of asthma (see Appendix C). Also describe how this project supports active transportation.

## Proposed APO text:

Describe how this project improves localized air quality, especially in communities with high rates of asthma (see Appendix C). Examples include, but are not limited to, vehicle emissions/idling reduction and modal switch (from single occupancy vehicles to carpooling, transit, active transportation). Describe how this project will incorporate other environmentally sustainable options/practices (conversion of streetlights to LEDs, improvement of stormwater management, addressing climate resiliency through infrastructure/project improvements).



T. 320.252.7568 F. 320.252.6557

TO:	Saint Cloud APO Policy Board
FROM:	Brian Gibson, Executive Director
RE:	Cost Sharing for Future Beltline Projects
DATE:	Sept. 3, 2024

Earlier this year, the APO Board set a goal to establish a regionwide cost-sharing agreement for future beltline roadway projects. The key questions were:

- 1. Which part(s) of the project development process would be cost-shared regionally, and
- 2. How would the cost sharing be distributed among the APO members?

The Board asked APO staff to solicit a recommendation from the Technical Advisory Committee.

At its August 28<sup>th</sup> meeting, the TAC approved the following recommendations:

- 1. The cost of the planning phase of any beltline project should be cost-shared among the jurisdictions according to the normal APO cost distribution formula, as usual.
- 2. When Federal or State funds are being used for a Tier 1 Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), the local-match costs should be shared among the jurisdictions, with the implementing jurisdiction paying 50% of the local match, and the other 50% being distributed among the jurisdictions according to the normal APO cost distribution formula.
- 3. Tier 2 EISs and all other aspects of project development should be the sole responsibility of the implementing jurisdiction.

## *Suggested Action: Approve a cost-sharing agreement for future beltline projects.*